

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:

SPRINGMANN, G. ET AL - 1 PCT Examiner:

Ser. No.:

10/552,791

GROUP:

3745

Filed:

January 13, 2006

CONFIRMATION NO.:

TITLE:

Device for Coupling a Coolant Supply to a Roller

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Enclosed please find a copy of the International Preliminary Report on Patentability.

Respectfully submitted, SPRINGMANN, G. ET AL

By

Frederick J. Dorchak, Reg.

Jo. 29, 298

1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, New York 11577 (516) 365-9802 FJD:fr

encls.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: MAIL STOP Amendment, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. Box 1450,

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on May 2, 2006.

Kelly Espitia

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P200579	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/DE2004/000680	International filing date (day/month/year) 29 March 2004 (29.03.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10 April 2003 (10.04.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F			
Applicant FA. GEORG SPRINGMANN INDU	STRIE- UND BERGBAUTECHNIK GMBH		

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
9	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
	mi v			
4.	The International Bureau will c not, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		
	•			

	Date of issuance of this report 02 March 2006 (02.03.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Agnes Wittmann-Regis
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 70

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	XITY		
Го:			PCT
			ITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	-
Applicant's or agent's file reference P200579		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/DE2004/000680	International filing date (a 29.03.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 10.04.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both	national classification and	IPC	
Applicant FA. GEORG SPRINGMANN	INDUSTRIE- (UND BERGBA	UTECHNIK GMBH
Box No. IV Lack of unity Box No. V Reasoned sta applicability; Box No. VI Certain documents of the second star applicability; Box No. VII Certain defect Certain observable. Box No. VIII Certain observable. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary Examining A than this one to be the IPEA and the certain observable. If this opinion is, as provided above, of the second certain observable.	hment of opinion with regi- y of invention tement under Rule 43bis. 1 ; citations and explanations ments cited exist in the international applications on the international authority ("IPEA") except chosen IPEA has notified to will not be so considered. considered to be a written mate, with amendments, but of 22 months from the price	ard to novelty, inventi- l(a)(i) with regard to n s supporting such state lication al application de, this opinion will that this does not appl the International Bure opinion of the IPEA,	be considered to be a written opinion of the y where the applicant chooses an Authority other au under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form
3. For further details, see notes to Form Po	CT/ISA/220.		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP		Authorized officer	
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.	

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/000680

Box No	o. I Basis of this opinion	1
1. W		
fi:	ith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the internatieled, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	onal application in the language in which it was
L	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original langu	age into the following language
	, which is the language of a translation furnished	for the purposes of international search (under
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2. W	ith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the internation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	onal application and necessary to the claimed
a.	type of material	·
	a sequence listing	
	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b.	format of material	
	in written format	
	in computer readable form	
C.	time of filing/furnishing	
	contained in the international application as filed.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
	Turns and subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing an furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	d/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or copies is identical to that in the application as
4. Add	ditional comments:	
		`
		·
	·	
	,	
		ļ

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/000680

Во	ox No. II Priority
1.	The following document has not yet been furnished:
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:
	·
	·

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/000680

Bo	x No. V Reasoned stateme	ent under R	ule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty	inventive step or industrial applicability;	
1.	Statement Statement	anauons su	pporung such statement		
	Novelty (N)	Claims Claims			_
	Inventive step (IS)		1-13		YES
	Industrial applicability (IA)		1-13		
2.	Citations and explanations:				
	1.)				
	This opinion ci	tes th	ne following docume	ent:	
			IANNESMANN AG) 16 S		
	(1993-09-16)			•	•
	2.)			·	
			garded as closest		
			for coupling a co		
			oller is mounted i		
			and roller bearing		
			nt by means of at		
			gh the journals wi		
			can be fixed to t		
		manner	, in order carry o	ut the coupling to	
	the borehole				
			cover that can be		
	bearing block for	or cov	ering the borehole	and provided with	

from which the subject matter of the independent claim 1 differs in that:

at least one coolant channel that can be connected to the

coolant supply

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/000680

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

the bearing block cover (9) can be fitted with an insert (14) which supports the sealing unit (7; 8; 19; 20; 21) in fitted state, and whereby the insert (14), in order to carry out pressure-tight coupling of the coolant channel (11, 12) via the sealing unit (7; 8; 19; 20; 21) to the borehole (6), is provided with at least one coolant channel (17, 18), which connects to the coolant channel (11, 12) in the bearing block cover (9) and the sealing unit (7; 8; 19; 20; 21) in fitted state.

The subject matter of claim 1 is thus novel (PCT Article 33(2)).

3.)

The effect of the features that comprise the difference to prior art is that when the insert is removed from the bearing block cover, external access, e.g. for the performance of maintenance work, is possible without removing the entire bearing block cover.

The solution for this problem disclosed in claim 1 of the present application thus represents an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)):

4.)

Claims 2-13 depend on claim 1 and thus also satisfy PCT requirements with respect to novelty and inventive step.

5.)

Industrial Applicability:

As the method and the device can be used for handling molten masses in foundries, the invention is industrially applicable.

6.) Objections:

International application No.
PCT/DE2004/000680

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

a.)

Claim 1 is unclear.

Pursuant to PCT Article 6, claims must be concise. The expression "preferably" (vorzugsweise) does not restrict the scope of protection of the claim, that is, the feature that follows this expression is to be regarded as being entirely facultative (Guidelines C-11, 4.6).

b.) Independent claim 1 is not formulated correctly in the two-part form in accordance with PCT Rule 6.3(b), which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (PCT Rule 6.3 b) i)), and the remaining features being placed in the characterising part (PCT Rule 6.3 b) ii)).